

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/069,285	SUCK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Szperka	1644	

All Participants:

(1) Michael Szperka.

(2) Csaba Henter.

Status of Application: after final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 August 2007

Time: 3 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11-14, 21, and 26-33

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to the changes detailed in the examiner's amendment to place the claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)